

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE . | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|------------------|--|
| 10/666,616 | 09/18/2003 | Mary J. Cox | 028-1-001 | 028-1-001 9909 | |
| 75 | 90 10/18/2005 | | EXAM | INER | |
| LAW OFFICE P.O. Box 11586 | OF BRIAN R. RAYV | VE | DOAN, RO | BYN KIEU | |
| | UT 84147-0586 | | 028-1-001 EXAM DOAN, ROI ART UNIT 3732 | PAPER NUMBER | |
| - | | | 3732 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Office Action Summar | у | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 001 | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | 4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | | | |
| | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for | or a list of the certif | ried copies not receive | d. | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority doc | | | on No | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority doc | cuments have been | n received. | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: | Totalgir pitority und | iei 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(u) OI (I). | | |
| | foreign priority und | for 35 11 C C & 410(a) | (d) or (f) | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by | • | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the | | - | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) | | objected to by the F | - - - - - - - | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the E | vaminer | | • | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 8) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> are subject to restriction a | and/or election req | uirement. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v | | nsideration. | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the app | lication · | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice | under <i>Ex parte Qu</i> | ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of | n <u>18 September 2</u> | <u>003</u> . | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ING DATE OF TH 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever action. ry period will apply and will by statute, cause the appl | IS COMMUNICATION int, however, may a reply be tim Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ication to become ABANDONED | l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR | REPLY IS SET TO | O EXPIRE 1 MONTH(| S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply | tion appears on the | cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | |
| | Robyn Do | an | 3732 | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | |
| | 10/666,61 | 6 | COX, MARY J. | | |
| | Application | on No. | Applicant(s) | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I. Figures 1-9
- II. Figures 10-13
- III. Figures 14-15
- IV. Figure 16.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 10/666,616

Art Unit: 3732

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Rayve on October 11, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robyn Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,616 Page 4

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robyn Doan Examiner

October 11, 2005